### **ADVANTEX® MOP IS THE PREMIER SOLUTION**

# **MORE IS BETTER**



#### **CHEMISTRY COMPATIBILITY**

Best-in-class non-quat binding, compatible with all chemistries

95.9% of chemistry delivered to the floor

#### **PROFESSIONAL GRADE MICROFIBER WITH SUPERIOR EFFICACY**

- 27.3% of Laundered microfiber retains HAI pathogens\*
- Reduces risks of cross-contamination
- > 99.9% efficacy of pathogen removal\*
- Improves environmental safety

#### **SUSTAINABILITY**

- Constructed of 71% post-consumer recycled materials
- 🗸 Lower fuel and water usage

 Significantly reduces cleaning chemistry waste
 Eliminates harsh chemical usage in laundering process

#### **COST REDUCTION/BUDGET FRIENDLY**

- Requires 47% less chemistry
  Increases cleaning staff efficiency
- Greater coverage with fewer mops
- Less cost than outsourced laundry per use

### **ELIMINATES LAUNDERING MICROFIBER**



- Eliminates risk of co-mingled, residual byproducts from different laundering entities
- Eliminates using damaged, ineffective microfiber resulting from the laundering process
  - Eliminates risk of reintroducing pathogens retained in laundered mops
- \*Supporting data available upon request



#### TEST RESULTS FOR SEVERAL MICROFIBER MOP BRANDS FOR ABSORPTION, APPLICATION EFFICACY, AND WASTED DISINFECTANT PRODUCT

MOP ABSORBENCY AND APPLICATION EFFICACY											
МОР	Dry Wt. (g)	Wet Wt. (g)	Solution Absorbed Wt. (g)	Post Application Wt. (g)	Solution Delivery (g)	% Fluid Release	Floor Coverage (sq. ft.)	Solution Waste (g) per Use	% Fluid Waste	Quat Binding (1 Hr)	Quat Binding (3 Hr)
<b>ADVANTEX®</b>	18.8	168.9	150.1	24.9	144.0	95.9%	250	6.2	4.3%	NO	NO
Brand B	15.7	122.6	106.9	24.5	98.1	91.8%	168	8.7	8.9%	YES	YES
Brand C	13.2	141.0	127.8	37.6	103.4	80.9%	185	24.4	23.6%	YES	YES
Brand D	13.1	138.8	125.7	39.1	99.7	79.3%	178	26.0	26.1%	YES	YES
Brand E	22.8	205.1	182.2	68.1	137.0	75.2%	245	45.3	33.0%	YES	YES
Brand F	16.2	137.1	120.9	46.3	90.8	75.1%	158	30.1	33.1%	YES	YES
Brand G	12.5	130.9	118.4	48.6	82.2	69.5%	147	36.1	43.9%	YES	YES
Brand H	23.6	179.3	155.7	87.5	91.8	59.0%	159	63.9	69.6%	YES	YES
Laundered Mops	94.0	496.0	402.0	342.0	154.0	38.3%	267	248.0	61.0%	YES	YES

This study evaluated ADVANTEX® (Brand A) and several brands of single-use microfiber mops and determined that differences vividly exist between brands on several critical criteria such as disinfectant neutralization, absorbency and dispersion/release efficacy, floor coverage, and wasted chemical solution. The full-length microfiber mop case study and lab results are available upon request. (By David Harry and Jack McGurk, MPA.) NDTE: The complete report, all data, tables and results are available upon request.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL LIFE-CYCLE ANALYSIS**

SUMMARY & RESULTS: The ADVANTEX<sup>®</sup> Single-use Mop has a significantly LOWER environmental impact than a reusable laundered mop in every category assessed in the EPA TRACI model. A contribution analysis on the global warming potential of the two indicates that the electrical power and chemistry needed to wash and dry the reusable mop dominates environmental adverse implications.



#### ONLY ADVANTEX OFFERS SUSTAINABILITY BENEFITS VS LAUNDERABLE

Richard Venditti, Elis and Signe Olsson Professor, Department of Forest Biomaterials, North Carolina State University, Room 1204 Pulp and Paper Labs, Raleigh, NC 27695-8005

This report summarizes the findings of an environmental impact analysis of two types of mops, the ADVANTEX<sup>®</sup> Single-use Microfiber Mop (disposable) and a reusable microfiber mop. Each type of mop was evaluated for 100 uses. The ADVANTEX<sup>®</sup> Single-use Mop included 100 mops that were each used one time and then disposed of after being transported 20 miles to an incineration facility.

NOTE: The complete report, all data, tables and results are available upon request.

# Advantex 🕄 GEERPRES.